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ABSTRACT
There is a gap in Theorem 2.2 of the paper of Du [1]. In this paper, we shall state the gap and repair it.
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1. INTRODUCTION
In 2010, Du investigated the equivalence of vectorial versions of fixed point theorems in generalized cone metric spaces and scalar versions of fixed point theorems in (general) metric spaces (in usual sense). He showed that the Banach contraction principles in general metric spaces and in TVS-cone metric spaces are equivalent. His results also extended some results of [2] and [4]. In this paper, all notations are considered as in [1]. Further, \((E;S)\) will stand for the Hausdorff locally convex topological vector space with \(S\) the system of seminorms generating its topology. Also we insist on that continuity of the algebraic operations in a topological vector space and the properties of the cone imply the relations:

\[\text{int}P + \text{int}P \subseteq \text{int}P \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda \text{int}P \subseteq \text{int}P \quad \text{for all} \quad \lambda > 0.\]

We appeal to these relations in the following. Du proved the following result ([1]; Theorem 2.2).

Theorem 1.
Let \((X, p)\) be a TVS-CMS, \(x \in X\) and \(\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}\) a sequence in \(X\). Set \(d_p(x, x) = \|x - x\|_p\). Then the following statements hold:

(i) If \(\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}\) converges to \(x\) in TVS-CMS \((X, p)\), then \(d_p(x_n, x) \to 0\) as \(n \to \infty\),

(ii) If \(\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}\) is a Cauchy sequence in TVS-CMS \((X, p)\), then \(\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}\) is a Cauchy sequence (in usual sense) in \((X, d_p)\),
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If \((X, p)\) is a complete TVS-CMS, then \((X, d_p)\) is a complete metric space.

The author has been claimed that the conclusion (iii) is immediate from conditions (i) and (ii). This assertion is not true. Take a Cauchy sequence \(\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}\) in \((X, d_p)\). To proceed by (ii), one needs to show \(\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}\) is Cauchy in \((X, p)\), which means that the converse of the statement (ii) must also hold.

In fact, the converse of the implications of (i) and (ii) hold. We prove it here. Regarding (i) we prove that if \(x_n \to x\) in \((X, d_p)\) then \(x_n \to x\) in \((X, p)\). Let \(c \gg 0\) be given. Take \(q \in S\) and \(\delta > 0\) such that \(q(b) < \delta\) implies \(b < c\).

Since \(e \to q\) in \((E, S)\), we can find \(\epsilon = \frac{1}{n_0}\) such that \(e q(e) < \delta\) and hence \(e e \ll c\). Now, choose \(n_0\) such that
\[
d_p(x_n, x) = \xi_e \circ p(x_n, x) < \epsilon\quad\text{for all } n \geq n_0.
\]
Hence, by Lemma 1.1 (iv) in [1],
\[
p(x_n, x) \ll \epsilon e \ll c\quad\text{for all } n \geq n_0.
\]
The proof of the converse of implication (ii) is similar. Now it is possible to say that (iii) of Theorem 1 is immediate from the modified (i) and (ii).

Thus, (11, Theorem 2.2) should be complete as following.

**Theorem:**

Let \((X, p)\) be a TVS-CMS, \(x \in X\) and \(\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}\) a sequence in \(X\). Set \(d_p = \xi_e \circ p\). Then the following statements hold:

(i) \(\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}\) converges to \(x\) in TVS-CMS \((X, p)\) if and only if \(d_p(x_n, x) \to 0\) as \(n \to \infty\),

(ii) \(\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}\) is a Cauchy sequence in TVS-CMS \((X, p)\) if and only if \(\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}\) is a Cauchy sequence in \((X, d_p)\),

(iii) \((X, p)\) is a complete TVS-CMS if and only if \((X, d_p)\) is a complete metric space.

**Remark.**

Above result says that for every complete TVS-cone metric space there exists a correspondent complete usual metric space such that the spaces are topologically isomorphic.